1 CHEM 18731

2	Supplemental Materials
3	In vitro and in vivo Estrogenic Effects of 17a-Estadiol in Medaka (Oryzias latipes)
4	
5	Chong Huang, Zhaobin Zhang, Shimin Wu, Yanbin Zhao, Jianying Hu^*
6	Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences,
7	Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Address for Correspondence
14	* Dr. Jianying HU
15	College of Urban and Environmental Sciences
16	Peking University
17	Beijing 100871
18	China
19	TEL & FAX: 86-10-62765520
20	Email: <u>hujy@urban.pku.edu.cn</u>
21	
22	
23	

24	These supplemental materials provide detailed descriptions of yeast two-hybrid assay for
25	estrogenic agonist activity, concentration determination of 17α -E2 and 17β -E2 in exposure
26	water samples by LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis and environmental concentration levels of 17α -E2
27	reported in different environmental matrices in recent years.
28	

29 **1. Yeast two-hybrid assay for estrogenic agonist activity**

The yeast cells were preincubated at 30°C for 16 hours in 2 ml medium (6.7 g L^{-1} Difco yeast 30 nitrogen base without amino acids, 0.2% glucose, 300 mg L⁻¹ L-isoleucine, 1500 mg L⁻¹ 31 L-valine, 200 mg L⁻¹ L-adenine hemisulfate salt, 200 mg L⁻¹ L-arginine HCl, 200 mg L⁻¹ 32 L-histidine HCl monohydrate, 300 mg L⁻¹ L-lysine HCl, 200mg l⁻¹ L-methionine, 500mg L⁻¹ 33 L-phenylalanine, 200 mg L⁻¹ L-threonine, 300 mg L⁻¹ L-tyrosine, 200 mg L⁻¹ L-uracil (Sigma, 34 USA)). 50 µL of overnight culture and 2.5 µL of DMSO solution containing test chemical 35 were then added to 200 µL of fresh medium (2% galactose) in a microtube (Axygen Scientific, 36 U.S.A.), respectively. After yeasts were cultured for 4 h at 30°C, 150 µL of the above culture 37 was fractionated, and its absorbance at 595 nm was detected. The residual culture (100 µL) 38 was centrifuged at 4 °C (15000 rpm) for 5 min, and the collected cells were resuspended in 39 200 μ L of Z buffer (0.1 M sodium phosphate (pH = 7.0), 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄) 40 containing 1mg mL⁻¹ Zymolyase 20T (Seikagaku, Tokyo), and incubated for 20 min at 30°C. 41 The enzymatic reaction was started by the addition of 40 μ L of 4 mg mL⁻¹ 42 2-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside (ONPG, Tokyo Kasei, Tokyo, Japan), and incubated for 43 20 min at 30°C. Then the enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding 1 M Na₂CO₃(100 μ L). 44 After the above solution was centrifuged, 150 µL aliquots were placed into 96 wells of a 45 microplate. Absorbances at 415 and 570 nm were read on a microplate reader (Bio RAD 550, 46 USA), and the β -galactosidase activity (U) was calculated according to Equation : 47

48 U=1000×([OD₄₁₅]
$$-$$
[1.75×OD₅₇₀]/([*t*]×[*v*]×[OD₅₉₅])

49 where t represents the reaction time (min); v is the volume of the culture used in the assay 50 (ml); OD_{595} is the cell density at the start of the assay; OD_{415} is the absorbance by 51 o-nitrophenol at the end of the reaction, and OD_{570} is the light scattering at the end of the 52 reaction.

53 2. Analysis of actual estrogens in exposure tanks

54 2. 1 Sample preparation

The water samples (2 L for vehicle control groups, 0.1 ng L^{-1} and 1 ng L^{-1} exposure 55 groups; 500 mL for 10 ng L⁻¹ and 100 ng L⁻¹ exposure groups; 10 mL for 1000 ng L⁻¹ and 56 10000 ng L⁻¹ exposure groups) were spiked with 10 ng of 17β -E2-d₃ and were extracted 57 through the HLB cartridges (6 mL, 500 mg, Waters, Milford, MA, USA) at a flow rate of 5-10 58 mL/min. The cartridge was preconditioned with 6 mL of ethyl acetate, 6 mL of acetonitrile 59 and 12 mL of distilled water. Then the cartridges were rinsed with 10 mL of distilled water 60 and dried under a flow of nitrogen. Target analytes (17 α -E2 and 17 β -E2) were subsequently 61 eluted with 15 mL of ethyl acetate. The eluates were evaporated to dryness under a gentle 62 63 stream of nitrogen and reconstituted with 0.5 mL of methonal for LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis.

64 2. 2 LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis

The LC apparatus was an Acquity Ultra Performance LC (Waters, USA). Acquity UPLC® BEH C8 column (100 × 2.1 mm, 1.7 μ m particle size) (Waters, USA) was used for separation. The column was maintained at 40°C at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min and the injection volume was 5 μ L. Methanol and ultrapure water were used as mobile phases. Methanol was initially increased linearly from 10% to 50% in 0.5 min, to 80% in the next 5.5 min, to 100% in the following 1.0 min, and kept for 1.0 min. The column was then equilibrated for 3.0 min.

Mass spectrometry was performed using a Quattro Premier[™] XE detector (Waters, USA)
which was operated with ESI in the negative ion (NI) mode. The detection conditions of the
mass spectrometer were as follows: capillary voltage, 3.0 kV; source temperature, 110°C;

desolvation temperature, 400°C; desolvation gas flow, 800 L/h; and cone gas flow, 50 L/h.
Finally, the data acquisition was performed under time-segmented conditions based on the
chromatographic separation of the target compounds to maximize sensitivity of detection
(Table 1).

	Compound	MRM transition	Cone voltage (V)	Collision energy (eV)
	17α-estradiol (17α-E2)	271 > 145	60	36
		271 > 183	00	42
17β-estradi	170 estradial (170 E2)	271 > 145	60	48
	1/p-estradioi (1/p-E2)	271 > 183		38
	17β-estradiol-d ₃ (17β-E2-d ₃)	274 > 185	58	46

78 Table S1. Parameters for analyze estrogens by LC-ESI-MS/MS

79

80 2. 3 Quality assurance and Quality control

Identification of the target estrogens was accomplished by comparing the retention time (within 2%) and the ratio (within 20%) of the two selected precursor ion-production ion transition with those of standards. To automatically correct the losses of analytes during sample preparation and the matrix-induced change in ionization, and to compensate for variations in instrument response from injection to injection, 17β -E2-d₃ was used as surrogate standards for 17α -E2 and 17β -E2. The Method Detection Limits (MDLs) of 17α -E2 and 17β -E2 for 2 L water samples were 0.05 ng L⁻¹ and 0.08 ng L⁻¹, respectively.

- 88
- 89
- 90
- 91
- 92
- 93

3. Concentration Levels of 17α-E2 in the environmental matrices from various studies.

Region	Concentration	Matrices	Reference*
	$(ng.L^{-1})$		
New Zealand	5 (max) ng. L^{-1}	Domestic STP effluent	Belfroid et al., 1999
	2.1 (max) ng. L^{-1}	Industrial STP effluent	Belfroid et al.,.1999
	3.0 (max) ng. L ⁻¹	Surface water	Belfroid et al., 1999
USA	74 (max) ng. L ⁻¹	139 Streams	Kolpin et al., 2002
Chester County, Pennsylvania. USA	0.04 (min) ng. L^{-1} 7 (max) ng. L^{-1}	21 Streams	Velicu and Suri, 2009
China	85.2 (med) ng. L^{-1}	STP inffluent	Zhou et al., 2009
	33.8 (med) ng. L^{-1}	STP effluent	Zhou et al., 2009
	0.02-0.91 ng. L ⁻¹	Surface water	Chang et al., 2009
New Zealand	9.5 ng. L^{-1}	STP effluent	Sarmah et al., 2006
	$1028 \text{ (max)} \text{ ng. } \text{L}^{-1}$	Dairy farm	Sarmah et al., 2006
	10.9 ng. L^{-1}	Piggery farm	Sarmah et al., 2006
	$172 \text{ ng. } \text{L}^{-1}$	Goat farm	Sarmah et al., 2006
New Zealand	19-1028 μg. kg ⁻¹	Dairy oxidation pond	Sarmah et al., 2006
	$172 \ \mu g. \ kg^{-1}$	Goat effluent (slurry)	Sarmah et al., 2006
	11 μg. kg ⁻¹	Piggery oxidation pond	Sarmah et al., 2006
	$1.5 \text{ (min)} \text{ ng. } \text{L}^{-1}$		
	$17 (max) ng. L^{-1}$	STP inffluent	
Denia	7.4 (med) ng. L^{-1}		Mars et al. 2000
Paris	0.1 (min) ng. L ⁻¹		Miege et al., 2009
	3 (max) ng. L^{-1}	STP effluent	
	$0.8 \text{ (med)} \text{ ng. } \text{L}^{-1}$		
	2000 ng. L ⁻¹	Cattle wastewater inlet	Furuichi et al., 2006
Ionon	650-680 ng. L ⁻¹	Rawswine wastewater	Furuichi et al., 2006
Japan	660 ng. L ⁻¹	UASB outlet	Furuichi et al., 2006
	24 ng. L ⁻¹	Trickling filter effluent	Furuichi et al., 2006
USA	About 1000 ng. L ⁻¹	lagoon samples	Hutcins et al., 2007
	$139 \pm 7 \ \mu g. \ kg^{-1}$	Dairy(press cake solids)	Raman et al., 2001
	$603 \pm 358 \ \mu g. \ kg^{-1}$	Dairy, dry-stack(semisolid)	Williams et al., 2002
USA	289 ± 207 µg. kg ⁻¹	Dairy, dry-stack(solid)	Williams et al., 2002
	$370 \pm 59 \ \mu g. \ kg^{-1}$	Holding ponds	Williams et al., 2002
	$890 \pm 120 \ \mu g. \ kg^{-1}$	Piggery (farrowing pit)	Williams et al., 2002
	About 2400 ng. L^{-1}	Dairy waste water flow	Zheng et al., 2008
California	$1416 \pm 104 \ \mu g. \ kg^{-1}$	Dairy fresh manure	Zheng et al., 2008
	$172 \pm 9 \ \mu g. \ kg^{-1}$	Dairy piled manure	Zheng et al., 2008
Tokyo	$0.33 \text{ (max)} \text{ ng. g}^{-1}$	Sediment	Isobe et al., 2006
China	2.4-4.2 ng. g ⁻¹	Mollusk	Liu et al., 2009

95 Table S2. Concentrations of 17α -E2 reported in different environment matrices.

96 ***Reference:**

- Belfroid, A.C., Van der Horst, A., Vethaak, A.D., Schafer, A.J., Rijs, G.B.L., Wegener, J.,
 Cofino, W.P., 1999. Analysis and occurrence of estrogenic hormones and their
 glucuronides in surface water and waste water in the Netherlands. Sci. Total Environ. 225,
 101-108.
 Chang, H., Wan, Y., Hu, J.Y., 2009. Determination and source apportionment of five classes
 of steroid hormones in urban rivers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 7691-7698.
 Furuichi, T., Kannan, K., Suzuki K., Tanaka S., Giesy, J.P., Masunaga, S., 2006. Occurrence
- of estrogenic compounds in and removal by a swine farm waste treatment plant. Environ.
 Sci. Technol. 40, 7896-7902.
- 106 Hutchins, SR., White, MV., Hudson, FM., Fine, DD., 2007. Analysis of lagoon samples from

107 different concentrated animal feeding operations for estrogens and estrogen conjugates.

- 108 Environ. Sci. Technol. 41, 738-744.
- 109 Isobe, T., Serizawa, S., Horiguchi, T., Shibata, Y., Managaki, S., Takada, H., Morita, M.,
- 110 Shiraishi, H., 2006. Horizontal distribution of steroid estrogens in surface sediments in
- 111 Tokyo Bay. Environ. Pollut. 144, 632-638.
- 112 Kolpin, D.W., Furlong, E.T., Meyer, M.T., Thurman, E.M., Zaugg, S.D., Barber, L.B.,
- Buxton, H.T., 2002. Pharmaceuticals, hormones, and other organic wastewater contaminants in US streams, 1999–2000: A national reconnaissance. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 1202–1211.
- Liu, Y., Guan, Y.T., Mizuno, T., Tsuno, H., Zhu, W.P., 2009. A pretreatment method for
 GC–MS determination of endocrine disrupting chemicals in Mollusk Tissues.

118 Chromatographia. 69, 65-71.

- 119 Miege, C., Karolak, S., Gabet, V., Jugan, M.L., Oziol, L., Chevreuil, M., Levi, Y., Coquery,
- 120 M., 2009. Evaluation of estrogenic disrupting potency in aquatic environments and urban
- 121 wastewaters by combining chemical and biological analysis. Trac-Trend. Anal. Chem. 28,
- 122 186-195.
- 123 Raman, D. R.; Layton, A. C.; Moody, L. B.; Easter, J. P.; Sayler, G. S.; Burns, R. T.; Mullen, M.
- D., 2001. Degradation of estrogens in dairy waste solids: effects of acidification and
 temperature. Trans. ASAE. 44, 1881-1888.
- Sarmah, A.K., Northcott, G.L., Leusch, F.D.L., Tremblay, L.A., 2006. A survey of endocrine
 disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in municipal sewage and animal waste effluents in the
 Waikato region of New Zealand. Sci. Total Environ. 355, 135-144.
- 129 Velicu, M., Suri, R., 2009. Presence of steroid hormones and antibiotics in surface water of
- agricultural, suburban and mixed-use areas. Environ. Monit. Assess. 154, 349–359.
- 131 Williams, E. L., 2002. Estrogen concentrations in animal waste holding facilities: implications
- 132 for manure management practices. MS Thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville.
- 133 Zhou, H.D., Huang, X., Wang X.L., 2009. Behaviour of selected endocrine-disrupting
- 134 chemicals in three sewage treatment plants of Beijing, China. Environ. Monit. Assess. 30,
- 135 731-736.
- Zheng, W., Yates, S.R., Bradford, S.A., 2008. Analysis of steroid hormones in a typical airy
 waste disposal system. Environ. Sci. Technol. 42, 530–535.
- 138